## **Computability of the Fourier Transform and ZFC**

Holger Boche and Ullrich J. Mönich

Technische Universität München Lehrstuhl für Theoretische Informationstechnik

> SampTA July 12, 2019



# **Motivation**

#### Fourier Transform:

$$\label{eq:f} \widehat{f}(\omega) = (\mathfrak{F}f)(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(t) \, e^{-i\,\omega\,t} \ dt$$

- The Fourier transform is an important operation in signal processing, physics, and mathematics.
- Only for very simple functions there exists a closed form solution of the Fourier transform.
- Hence, computer algorithms / digital computers are used to compute the Fourier transform.

# **Motivation**

#### Fourier Transform:

$$\widehat{f}(\omega) = (\mathfrak{F}f)(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \, e^{-i\,\omega\,t} \ dt$$

- The Fourier transform is an important operation in signal processing, physics, and mathematics.
- Only for very simple functions there exists a closed form solution of the Fourier transform.
- Hence, computer algorithms / digital computers are used to compute the Fourier transform.

#### **Question:**

How does the Fourier transform alter the properties of a function?



- Theory of computability is a well-established field in computer sciences.
- Computability theory is different from complexity theory.
- Complexity theory analyzes and classifies the computable problems with respect to their complexity.
- Computability theory studies the theoretically feasible.
  - No restriction on memory, computing time
  - Tool: Turing machines

Many practical problems are continuous:

- Capacity of channels
- Fourier transform
- Maxwell's equations

A Turing machine can solve arbitrary complex discrete problems.

- When can we approximate a practical analog problem by a discrete problem with a controlled approximation error?
- Only if we can control the approximation error, the solution of the discrete problem, which can be solved on a Turing machine, gives useful information about for the continuous problem.

# Notation

- $L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ : space of all measurable, pth-power Lebesgue integrable functions on  $\Omega$ Norm:  $\|f\|_p = (\int_{\Omega} |f(t)|^p dt)^{1/p}$
- $L^\infty(\Omega)$  : space of all functions for which the essential supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is finite

### Definition (Bernstein Space)

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma}$  be the set of all entire functions f with the property that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant  $C(\varepsilon)$  with  $|f(z)| \leq C(\varepsilon) \exp((\sigma + \varepsilon)|z|)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The Bernstein space  $\mathcal{B}^p_{\sigma}$  consists of all functions in  $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma}$ , whose restriction to the real line is in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . The norm for  $\mathcal{B}^p_{\sigma}$  is given by the  $L^p$ -norm on the real line.

- A function in  $\mathcal{B}^{p}_{\sigma}$  is called bandlimited to  $\sigma$ .
- We have  $\mathcal{B}^p_{\sigma} \subset \mathcal{B}^r_{\sigma}$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq r \leq \infty$ .
- $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma}^2$  is the space of bandlimited functions with finite energy.

- Partial recursive functions, mapping from N to N, are exactly those functions that can be algorithmically computed with a Turing machine.
- Partial function on  $\mathbb{N}$ : function f(n) that may not be defined for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### Key idea: effective approximation

A real number x is said to be computable if there exists a computable sequence of rational numbers  $\{r_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and a recursive function  $\xi\colon\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|x - r_{\xi(n)}| < 2^{-n}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- $\mathbb{R}_c$  denotes the set of computable real numbers.
- $\mathbb{C}_c = \mathbb{R}_c + i\mathbb{R}_c$  denotes the set of computable complex numbers.
- Commonly used constants like e and  $\pi$  are computable.

Several definitions of computable functions:

- Turing computable
- Markov computable
- Banach–Mazur computable

A functions that is computable with respect to any of the above definitions, has the property that it maps computable numbers into computable numbers.

- $\rightarrow$  This property is a necessary condition for computability.
  - Usual functions like sin, sinc, log, and exp are Turing computable, and finite sums of computable functions are Turing computable.

We call a function f elementary computable if there exists a natural number N and a sequence of computable numbers  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=-N}^N$  such that

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=-N}^{N} \alpha_k \frac{\sin(\pi(t-k))}{\pi(t-k)}.$$

- Every elementary computable function f is a finite sum of Turing computable functions and hence Turing computable.
- For every  $t \in \mathbb{R}_c$  the number f(t) is computable.
- For every elementary computable function f, the norm  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^p_\pi}$  is computable.

#### Fact

Let  $f\in \mathbb{B}^p_{\pi}, 1< p<\infty.$  For every  $\varepsilon>0$  there exists an  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  and numbers  $\{c_k\}_{k=-N}^N$  such that

$$\left\|f - \sum_{k=-N}^{N} c_k \frac{\sin(\pi(\cdot - k))}{\pi(\cdot - k)}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}^p_{\pi}} < \varepsilon.$$

Classical approximation of bandlimited functions by elementary computable functions.

A function in  $f\in \mathcal{B}^p_\pi,$   $1\leqslant p<\infty,$  is computable in  $\mathcal{B}^p_\pi$  if there exists a computable sequence of elementary computable functions  $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and a recursive function  $\xi\colon\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_{\xi(n)}\|_{\mathfrak{B}^p_{\pi}} \leqslant 2^{-n}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We can approximate every function that is computable in f ∈ B<sup>p</sup><sub>π</sub> by an elementary computable function, where we have an "effective" control of the approximation error.

# **Bit Strings**

- Σ\*: set of all finite sequences of 0's and 1's (finite bit string)
- |u|: length of u

We can define a total order  $<_{\Sigma^*}$  for the set  $\Sigma^*$  by putting  $u <_{\Sigma^*} v$  if

1 |u| < |v|, or 2 |u| = |v| and u lexicographically precedes v.

 $0 <_{\Sigma^*} 1 <_{\Sigma^*} 00 <_{\Sigma^*} 01 <_{\Sigma^*} 10 <_{\Sigma^*} 11 <_{\Sigma^*} 000 <_{\Sigma^*} \dots$ 

# **Bit Strings**

- Σ\*: set of all finite sequences of 0's and 1's (finite bit string)
- |u|: length of u

We can define a total order  $<_{\Sigma^*}$  for the set  $\Sigma^*$  by putting  $u <_{\Sigma^*} v$  if

|u| < |ν|, or</li>
|u| = |ν| and u lexicographically precedes ν.

 $0 <_{\Sigma^*} 1 <_{\Sigma^*} 00 <_{\Sigma^*} 01 <_{\Sigma^*} 10 <_{\Sigma^*} 11 <_{\Sigma^*} 000 <_{\Sigma^*} \dots$ 

• This ordering provides a numbering of  $\Sigma^*,$  and thus a bijection between  $\mathbb N$  and  $\Sigma^*.$ 

Any partial recursive function  $\psi\colon\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$  can be interpreted as a mapping from  $\Sigma^*$  into  $\Sigma^*.$ 

### **Prefix-Free Code**

•  $\mathfrak{u} \frown \mathfrak{v}$ : concatenation of  $\mathfrak{u}$  and  $\mathfrak{v}$ 

**Definition** (Prefix)

A bit string  $u \in \Sigma^*$  is a prefix of a bit string  $v \in \Sigma^*$  if  $v = u \frown r$  for some  $r \in \Sigma^*$ .

### Definition (Prefix-Free Code)

 $A \subset \Sigma^*$  is called prefix-free code, if for arbitrary  $u, v \in A$  with the property that u is a prefix of v, we have u = v.

### **Prefix-Free Code**

•  $\mathfrak{u} \frown \mathfrak{v}$ : concatenation of  $\mathfrak{u}$  and  $\mathfrak{v}$ 

**Definition** (Prefix)

A bit string  $u \in \Sigma^*$  is a prefix of a bit string  $v \in \Sigma^*$  if  $v = u \frown r$  for some  $r \in \Sigma^*$ .

### Definition (Prefix-Free Code)

 $A \subset \Sigma^*$  is called prefix-free code, if for arbitrary  $u, v \in A$  with the property that u is a prefix of v, we have u = v.

For a prefix-free code  $A \subset \Sigma^*$  we have the Kraft–Chaitin inequality

$$\sum_{u\in A}\frac{1}{2^{|u|}}\leqslant 1.$$

### Definition (Chaitin Function)

We call a partial recursive function  $\psi \colon \Sigma^* \supset A \to \Sigma^*$  a Chaitin function if its domain dom $(\psi)$  is a prefix-free code.

- ψ: Chaitin function
- $A = \text{dom}(\psi) \subset \Sigma^*$  (prefix-fee code)
- $\phi_A \colon \mathbb{N} \to A$ : recursive enumeration of the elements of A (created by the total order  $<_{\Sigma^*}$ )

We set

$$\Omega_A := \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{|\varphi_A(N)|}}.$$

### **Remarks about** $\Omega_A$

From the Kraft-Chaitin inequality it follows that

$$\Omega_A = \sum_{N=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^{|\varphi_A(N)|}} \leqslant 1.$$

The partial sums

$$x_l = \sum_{N=1}^l \frac{1}{2^{|\varphi_{A_*}(N)|}}$$

define a monotonically increasing and bounded sequence  $\{x_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$  of dyadic rational numbers.

$$\Rightarrow \text{ The limit } \Omega_A = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{|\varphi_A(N)|}} = \lim_{l \to \infty} x_l \text{ exists and is unique.}$$

- The Zermelo–Fraenkel set theory with the axiom of choice included (ZFC) is the common and accepted foundation of mathematics.
- Almost all mathematical statements can be formulated in a way that provable statements can be derived from ZFC.

We call ZFC arithmetically sound if any sentence of arithmetic which is a theorem of ZFC is true in the standard model of Peano arithmetic (PA).

A rational number  $x \in (0, 1)$  is called dyadic rational if we have  $x = m/2^N$  for some  $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$ . (We can assume that m and  $2^N$  are coprime).

**Binary Expansion:** For every number  $x \in (0, 1)$  that is not dyadic rational we have the unique representation

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(x) \frac{1}{2^n},$$

where  $a_n(x) \in \{0, 1\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

• We call  $a_n(x)$  the n-th binary digit of x.

### Theorem (Solovay)

There exists a Chaitin function  $\psi_*$ , such that ZFC, if arithmetically sound, can determine no single binary digit of  $\Omega_{A_*}$ , where  $A_* = \text{dom}(\psi_*)$ .

### Theorem (Solovay)

There exists a Chaitin function  $\psi_*$ , such that ZFC, if arithmetically sound, can determine no single binary digit of  $\Omega_{A_*}$ , where  $A_* = \text{dom}(\psi_*)$ .

• We use  $\Omega_{A_*}$  to construct a "nice" function  $f_* \in \mathbb{B}^1_{2\pi}$  such that

$$\widehat{f}_{*}(0) = \Omega_{A_{*}} = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{|\varphi_{A_{*}}(N)|}}$$

• Hence, ZFC, if arithmetically sound, cannot determine a single binary digit of  $\hat{f}_*(0).$ 

### Theorem (Solovay)

There exists a Chaitin function  $\psi_*$ , such that ZFC, if arithmetically sound, can determine no single binary digit of  $\Omega_{A_*}$ , where  $A_* = \text{dom}(\psi_*)$ .

• We use  $\Omega_{A_*}$  to construct a "nice" function  $f_* \in \mathbb{B}^1_{2\pi}$  such that

$$\widehat{f}_{*}(0) = \Omega_{A_{*}} = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{|\varphi_{A_{*}}(N)|}}$$

• Hence, ZFC, if arithmetically sound, cannot determine a single binary digit of  $\hat{f}_*(0).$ 

ZFC, if arithmetically sound, cannot determine whether  $\hat{f}_*(0)\in(0,1/2)$  or  $\hat{f}_*(0)\in(1/2,1).$ 

#### Theorem

We construct a function  $f_* \in \mathbb{B}^1_{2\pi}$  such that:

- **1**  $f_*$  is computable as an element of  $\mathbb{B}_{2\pi}^p$  for all  $1 , <math>p \in \mathbb{R}_c$ ,
- 2  $f_*$  has a continuous Fourier transform  $\hat{f}_*$ ,
- $\textbf{3} \ \widehat{f}_*(\omega) \in \mathbb{C}_c \text{ for all } \omega \in \mathbb{R}_c \setminus \{0\},$
- 4 ZFC, if arithmetically sound, cannot determine a single binary digit of  $\hat{f}_*(0)$ .

The function  $f_*$  has also been constructed such that

$$|\widehat{f}_*(\omega)|\leqslant \widehat{f}_*(0),\qquad \omega\in\mathbb{R}.$$

#### Corollary

ZFC, if arithmetically sound, cannot determine a single binary digit of  $\|\hat{f}_*\|_{\infty}$ .

#### Interesting because:

 $\hat{f}_*(\omega)\in \bar{\mathbb{C}_c} \text{ for all } \omega\in\mathbb{R}_c\setminus\{0\} \text{ and } \lim_{\omega\to 0}\hat{f}_*(\omega)=\hat{f}_*(0)=\|\hat{f}_*\|_{\infty}.$ 

#### Theorem

There exists a natural number  $M_0$  such that ZFC, if arithmetically sound, cannot prove the statement  $|\hat{f}_*(0) - \lambda| < 2^{-M_0}$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$ .

- $\hat{f}_*(0)$  cannot be effectively approximated by rational numbers.
- The statement  $|\hat{f}_*(0) \lambda| < 2^{-M_0}$  is true for a countably infinite subset of  $\mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$ . But it cannot be proved for a single of these rational numbers.

• For any number that is Turing computable, ZFC can determine every binary digit of the binary expansion.

### Corollary

If ZFC is arithmetically sound, then  $\hat{f}_*$  is not Turing computable, because  $\hat{f}_*(0)$  is not Turing computable.

• The Fourier transform is not computable on a digital computer, because we have no way of effectively controlling the approximation error.

- There exists a "nice" function  $f_*$  such that its Fourier transform  $\widehat{f}_*$  is not Turing computable.
- ZFC (if arithmetically sound) cannot determine a single bit of  $\hat{f}_*(0)$ .
- Similar non-computability results can be shown for other problems:
  - bandlimited interpolation
  - discrete Fourier transform [BM19]
  - spectral factorization [BP19]



[BM19] H. Boche and U. J. Mönich, "On the Fourier representation of computable continuous signals," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP '19)*, May 2019, pp. 5013–5017

[BP19] H. Boche and V. Pohl, "On the algorithmic solvability of the spectral factorization and the calculation of the Wiener filter on Turing machines," in *Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, 2019, accepted

# Thank you!